

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

Patricia Staples,	:	
	:	Civil Action No.: 3:16-cv-00137
Plaintiff,	:	
v.	:	
Conn Appliances, Inc.,	:	COMPLAINT
Defendant.	:	
	:	
	:	

For this Complaint, Plaintiff, Patricia Staples, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* (the "TCPA").
2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b), in that Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, Patricia Staples ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Dallas, Texas, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

4. Defendant Conn Appliances, Inc. ("Conn"), is a Texas business entity with an address of 4055 Technology Forest Boulevard, Suite 210, The Woodlands, Texas 77381-2008, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

FACTS

5. In 2015, Conn began placing calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, number 214-xxx-2338, using an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS") and/or using an artificial or

prerecorded voice.

6. When Plaintiff answered calls from Conn, she heard either silence or a prerecorded message.

7. On or about May 6, 2015, Plaintiff spoke with a live representative and requested that all calls to her cellular telephone number cease.

8. Nevertheless, Conn continued to place automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number

COUNT I
VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA – 47 U.S.C. § 227, et. seq.

9. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

10. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant called Plaintiff's cellular telephone number using an ATDS or predictive dialer and/or using a prerecorded or artificial voice.

11. In expanding on the prohibitions of the TCPA, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") defines a predictive dialer as "a dialing system that automatically dials consumers' telephone numbers in a manner that "predicts" the time when a consumer will answer the phone and a [representative] will be available to take the call..." *2003 TCPA Order*, 18 FCC 36 Rcd 14022. The FCC explains that if a representative is not "free to take a call that has been placed by a predictive dialer, the consumer answers the phone only to hear 'dead air' or a dial tone, causing frustration." *Id.* In addition, the TCPA places prohibitions on companies that "abandon" calls by setting "the predictive dialers to ring for a very short period of time before disconnecting the call; in such cases, the predictive dialer does not record the call as having been abandoned." *Id.*

12. Defendant telephone system(s) have some earmarks of a predictive dialer.

13. When Plaintiff answered the phone, she was heard either silence or a prerecorded message before Defendants' telephone system would connect her to the next available representative.

14. Defendant's predictive dialers have the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator.

15. Defendant placed automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number despite knowing that it lacked consent to do so. As such, each call placed to Plaintiff was made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, and subject to treble damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

16. The telephone number called by Defendant was assigned to a cellular telephone service pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

17. The calls from Defendant to Plaintiff were not placed for "emergency purposes" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).

18. As a result of each call made in negligent violation of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each call in violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

19. As a result of each call made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to \$1,500.00 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

PRAAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendants:

A. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation determined to be negligent pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);

- B. Treble damages for each violation determined to be willful and/or knowing pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C); and
- C. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: January 17, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By /s/ Jenny DeFrancisco

Jenny DeFrancisco, Esq.
CT Bar No.: 432383
LEMBERG LAW LLC
43 Danbury Road
Wilton, CT 06897
Telephone: (203) 653-2250
Facsimile: (203) 653-3424
E-mail: jdefrancisco@lemb erglaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff